



[www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/1179788/DC1](http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/1179788/DC1)

Supporting Online Material for

**Temporal and Spatial Variability of Lunar Hydration as Observed by  
the Deep Impact Spacecraft**

Jessica M. Sunshine,\* Tony L. Farnham, Lori M. Feaga, Olivier Groussin,  
Frédéric Merlin, Ralph E. Milliken, Michael F. A'Hearn

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [jess@astro.umd.edu](mailto:jess@astro.umd.edu)

Published 24 September 2009 on *Science Express*  
DOI: 10.1126/science.1179788

**This PDF file includes:**

Materials and Methods

Table S1

References

Supporting On-Line Material for  
**Temporal and Spatial Variability of Lunar Hydration  
as Observed by the Deep Impact Spacecraft**

Jessica M. Sunshine<sup>\*</sup>, Tony L. Farnham, Lori M. Feaga, Olivier Groussin,  
Frédéric Merlin, Ralph E. Milliken, Michael F. A'Hearn

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [jess@astro.umd.edu](mailto:jess@astro.umd.edu)

## **Materials and Methods**

The Deep Impact HRI-IR spectrometer (*S1*) is a double-prism design providing spectra from 1.05 to 4.5  $\mu\text{m}$  with variable resolving power ranging from  $>700$  at 1.05  $\mu\text{m}$ , down to 200 at 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$ , and increasing to 350 at 4.5  $\mu\text{m}$ . Although this design was driven by considerations relevant to comets, it provides an ideal instrument for measuring the hydration features in the 3- $\mu\text{m}$  region on solid surfaces (*e.g.*, *S2*).

### Observations

As part of on-going calibration efforts, the Deep Impact spacecraft observed the Moon on three occasions. A small area along the equator was imaged as part of a calibration in December 2007. The Moon was also observed over the north pole on both June 2nd and June 9th, 2009 (**Table S1**). Conditions were such that the HRI-IR instrument was near its coldest possible operating temperature (138K in December 2007 and 137K in June 2009), minimizing the instrumental background signal.

### Data Reduction

The observations were reduced using the standard procedures developed during the Deep Impact prime mission (*S3*) in conjunction with the contemporaneous calibration data, to produce spectra in calibrated radiance units. The thermal contribution was then removed from each spectrum (each pixel) by independently fitting and subtracting a blackbody function using data beyond 4  $\mu\text{m}$ , which also provides an estimate of the temperature at each location (*S4*). Emissivity was assumed to equal one at all wavelengths. Since the actual emissivity must be  $<1$ , this simplification results in an underestimate of the temperature by  $\sim 5\text{K}$ . After thermal removal, apparent reflectance spectra were produced by dividing by the solar radiance (*S5*, *S6*) and dividing by the cosine of the incidence angle to account for photometric effects.

### Estimating Water Content

It has been shown that the strength of the 3  $\mu\text{m}$  feature can be used to estimate of the amount of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in hydrated phases (*S7*, *S8*). Using Hapke radiative transfer theory (*S9*), reflectance spectra are converted to single scattering albedo and an effective single particle absorption thickness (ESPAT) parameter was calculated. The ESPAT parameter calculated at  $\sim 2.9 \mu\text{m}$  exhibits a linear relationship with absolute  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  content for a wide

variety of hydrated materials, allowing one to quantify hydration based on observed reflectance spectra (S7, S8). Although this method was originally derived for H<sub>2</sub>O-bearing phases, the linear trend also holds true for certain OH-bearing materials (S7). Here we estimate the water content using the linear trend with ESPAT parameter derived from laboratory data of synthetic OH and H<sub>2</sub>O-bearing basaltic glasses (S7). Similar results are obtained when using trends derived from laboratory data of other hydrated phases [e.g., clay minerals, zeolites; (S7)].

**Table S1. Geometry of the Deep Impact Lunar Observations**

Acquisition Date	Time (UTC)	Spacecraft Range (km)	Phase Angle	Sub-S/C Lat, Lon	Sub-Solar Lat, Lon	Spatial Scale (km/pixel)	Scan Size (pixels)
29 Dec 07	19:20	1.00 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	98.8	4S, 33E	1S, 66W	10.0	64 x 64
2 Jun 09	02:08	7.88 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	93.6	75N, 37W	1N, 72E	78.8	64 x 100
9 Jun 09	02:20	5.88 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	94.6	77N, 129W	1N, 14W	58.8	64 x 100

### Supporting References and Notes

- S1. D. L. Hampton *et al.*, *Space Sci. Rev.* **117**, 42-93 (2005).
- S2. J. M. Sunshine *et al.*, *Science* **313**, 635-640 (2006).
- S3. K. P. Klaasen *et al.*, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **79**, 091301 (2008).
- S4. O. Groussin *et al.*, *Icarus* **187**, 16-25 (2007).
- S5. G. P. Anderson *et al.*, *Proc. SPIE* **4049**, 176-183, (2000).
- S6. R. L. Kurucz, *Proc. 17th Ann. Rev. Conf. Atm. Trans. Models* **1**, 333-334, (1995).
- S7. R. E. Milliken, Ph.D. thesis, Brown University, (2006).
- S8. R. E. Milliken *et al.*, *J. Geophys. Res* **112**, doi: 10.1029/2006JE002853 (2007).
- S9. B. W. Hapke, *Theory of Reflectance and Emittance Spectroscopy* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1983).